arXiv:0908.2106v1 [math-ph] 14 Aug 2009

Supertranslations to all orders

Rainer Dick

Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan,
116 Science Place, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5E2, Canada

Abstract: The transformation laws of the general linear superfield $V(x,\theta,\overline{\theta})$ and chiral superfields under $N=1$ supertranslations $\exp[\mathrm{i}(\zeta\cdot Q+\overline{Q}\cdot\overline{\zeta})]$ are tabulated to all orders in the parameters ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$.

Keywords: Supersymmetry; Supermultiplets; Supersymmetric transformation laws

PACS: 11.30.Pb

1 Introduction

Quantum field theories with exact correspondences between bosonic and fermionic helicity states are not only basic ingredients for superstring theories, but have dominated both theoretical investigations and experimental searches for particle physics beyond the current "Standard Model" of particle physics for over three decades now. The minimal version of supersymmetric extensions of the Standard Model extends the generators $M_{\mu\nu}$, p_μ of the Poincaré group by a set of fermionic generators Q_α and $Q_{\dot\alpha}$ in the $(\frac{1}{2},0)$ and $(0,\frac{1}{2})$ representations of the proper orthochronous Lorentz group in four dimensions. It has been recognized early on that this extension of the Poincaré algebra to a super-Poincaré algebra can be represented linearly (and in a reducible, but not fully reducible manner) on a set comprising 4 complex spin-0 fields, 4 Weyl spinors, and one complex spin-1 field. This set constitutes the so called general linear multiplet or general linear superfield V, and its irreducible subsets had also been identified.

Indeed, it is entirely sufficient to know the action of the supertranslation generators Q_α and $Q_{\dot\alpha}$ on the components of V, or equivalently the action of the supertranslation $\exp[\mathrm{i}(\zeta\cdot Q+\overline{Q}\cdot\overline{\zeta})]$ to first order in the parameters ζ_α, $\overline{\zeta}_{\dot\alpha}$, to construct supersymmetric action principles and the related supercurrents. Therefore the first order transformation laws for the components of V can be found in many books and review articles on supersymmetry, and with our current understanding this is all what is needed to discuss the physical implications of supersymmetry.

However, from a mathematical point of view it seems desirable to have the full transformation properties of the general linear multiplet readily available for reference. To provide such a reference is the purpose of this paper. To make these results also easily accessible for beginners in supersymmetry, the super-Poincaré algebra and the basic

techniques of superspace calculations, as they pertain to the derivation of the transformation properties of the component fields, are also briefly reviewed[1]. Therefore the outline of the paper is as follows.
Conventions for spinor representations of the Lorentz group and the super-Poincaré algebra are discussed in section 2. Superspace is reviewed in section 3, and the full supertranslation properties of the component fields of the general linear multiplet are reported in section 4.
Chiral superfields provide a particular irreducible representation within the reducible linear multiplet. Due to their practical relevance for the supersymmetrization of matter fields, the resulting full supertranslation properties of the components of chiral superfields are listed in section 5.
Appendix 1 contains a translation into the conventions of Wess and Bagger [1]. The relevant spinor identities are reviewed in appendix 2.
The conventions used here differ from Wess and Bagger only with regard to the definition of superderivatives and the definition of the 2nd order epsilon spinors with lower indices. Sections 2 and 3 are included to make the paper self-contained and easily accessible, and to clarify conventions. However, the results in sections 4 and 5 are not affected by the different definitions. The *cognoscenti* should therefore go straight to section 4 and refer to the earlier sections only if the need arises.
There is a further reason besides accessibility, why the larger part of this paper is an introductory review rather than an original research contribution. While (to my best knowledge) the full supertranslation laws of the component fields have not been published before, the methodology for calculations with linear super-multiplets in four dimensions was developed some 35 years ago by Wess, Zumino, Salam, Strathdee, and Ferrara [2, 3, 4, 5]. The full supertranslation laws should prove useful for the further development of supersymmetry and its applications, but tabulating those transformation laws primarily closes a gap in the literature.

2 The super-Poincaré algebra

We use $\eta_{00} = -1$ for the Minkowski metric and standard notation $\sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot\alpha}$ with

$$\sigma_0 = (\sigma_{0\,\alpha\dot\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = (\sigma_{1\,\alpha\dot\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sigma_2 = (\sigma_{2\,\alpha\dot\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = (\sigma_{3\,\alpha\dot\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for the Pauli matrices.

[1]Every serious student of supersymmetry will still have to consult Wess and Bagger [1] to learn the foundations of the subject.

Complex conjugation turns undotted indices into dotted indices and vice versa,

$$(\psi_\alpha)^* = \overline{\psi}_{\dot\alpha},$$

and hermiticity of the Pauli matrices implies for the complex conjugate matrices

$$\overline{\sigma}^\mu{}_{\dot\alpha\alpha} = \sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}. \tag{1}$$

We pull spinor indices with the two-dimensional epsilon spinors

$$\epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{12} = 1, \quad \epsilon^{\dot1\dot2} = \epsilon_{\dot1\dot2} = 1, \tag{2}$$

$$\psi^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta, \quad \psi_\beta = \psi^\alpha\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3}$$

$$\overline{\psi}^{\dot\alpha} = \epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\psi_{\dot\beta}, \quad \overline{\psi}_{\dot\beta} = \overline{\psi}^{\dot\alpha}\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}. \tag{4}$$

The equations (1) then imply that the conjugate Pauli matrices with upper spinor indices are

$$\overline{\sigma}^{\mu\,\dot\alpha\alpha} = \epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^\mu{}_{\beta\dot\beta}. \tag{5}$$

Numerically we have with the upper index positions for the barred matrices and lower index positions for the unbarred matrices,

$$\overline{\sigma}_0 = \sigma_0, \quad \overline{\sigma}_i = -\sigma_i.$$

Although not formally required, use of upper indices for barred Pauli matrices and lower indices for unbarred Pauli matrices is a useful and very common convention. Relations for Pauli matrices are meticulously compiled in Ref. [1]. For convenience, we recall those relations which are directly relevant for the derivation of supertranslations to all orders,

$$(\overline{\sigma}_\mu)^{\dot\alpha\alpha}(\sigma^\mu)_{\beta\dot\beta} = -2\delta^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}\delta^\alpha{}_\beta, \quad (\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\sigma^\mu)^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta} = -4\delta^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}, \quad (\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta = -4\delta_\alpha{}^\beta, \tag{6}$$

$$(\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\nu + \sigma_\nu\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta = -2\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta, \quad (\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\sigma_\nu + \overline{\sigma}_\nu\cdot\sigma_\mu)^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta} = -2\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\nu) = \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot\alpha}\overline{\sigma}_\nu{}^{\dot\alpha\alpha} = -2\eta_{\mu\nu}, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\mu\cdot\sigma^\nu = \eta^{\lambda\nu}\sigma^\mu - \eta^{\lambda\mu}\sigma^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu}\sigma^\lambda - \mathrm{i}\epsilon_{0123}\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\sigma_\rho. \tag{9}$$

The factor $\epsilon_{0123} = \pm1$ was included to allow for ready use of both conventions for the four-dimensional ϵ-tensor.
We will briefly recall below that pulling spinor indices with the 2nd order epsilon spinors is motivated by the fact that this yields Lorentz invariant spinor products

$$\psi\cdot\chi \equiv \psi^\alpha\chi_\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta\chi_\alpha = -\psi_\alpha\chi^\alpha = \chi^\alpha\psi_\alpha = \chi\cdot\psi, \tag{10}$$

where the anti-commutation property of spinors was used.
Conjugation also implies re-ordering of spinor quantities, such that conjugation of equation (10) yields

$$\overline{\chi} \cdot \overline{\psi} \equiv \overline{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \overline{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \overline{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}} = \overline{\psi} \cdot \overline{\chi}. \tag{11}$$

The vector representation matrices of the Lorentz algebra

$$(L_{\mu\nu})_{\rho}{}^{\sigma} = \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} - \eta_{\mu}{}^{\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho}$$

appear as structure constants in the Poincaré algebra. The spinor representations of a proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation

$$\Lambda = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}\right)$$

are given by

$$U(\Lambda) = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu}\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{U}(\Lambda) = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\omega^{\mu\nu} \overline{S}_{\mu\nu}\right),$$

with generators

$$(S_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(\sigma_{\mu} \cdot \overline{\sigma}_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu} \cdot \overline{\sigma}_{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta},$$

$$(\overline{S}_{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(\overline{\sigma}_{\mu} \cdot \sigma_{\nu} - \overline{\sigma}_{\nu} \cdot \sigma_{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}.$$

The following relations hold,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(S_{\mu\nu} \cdot S_{\rho\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho} - \mathrm{i}\epsilon_{0123}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}), \tag{12}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(\overline{S}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \overline{S}_{\rho\sigma}\big) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho} + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_{0123}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}). \tag{13}$$

These relations are used in the derivation of supersymmetric Maxwell or Yang-Mills actions.
The spinor products (10,11) are invariant because the matrices $U(\Lambda)$ and $\tilde{U}(\Lambda)$ are SL(2,$\mathbb{C}$) matrices,

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} U(\Lambda)_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} U(\Lambda)_{\beta}{}^{\delta} = \epsilon^{\gamma\delta}, \quad \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \tilde{U}(\Lambda)^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} \tilde{U}(\Lambda)^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} = \epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}. \tag{14}$$

Stated differently: the epsilon spinors are Lorentz invariant.

We can now write down the super-Poincaré algebra in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = \mathrm{i}(L_{\mu\nu})_{\rho}{}^{\lambda} M_{\lambda\sigma} + \mathrm{i}(L_{\mu\nu})_{\sigma}{}^{\lambda} M_{\rho\lambda},\\
&[M_{\mu\nu}, p_{\rho}] = \mathrm{i}(L_{\mu\nu})_{\rho}{}^{\sigma} p_{\sigma},\\
&[M_{\mu\nu}, Q_{\alpha}] = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(\sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} Q_{\beta},\\
&[M_{\mu\nu}, \overline{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}] = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(\overline{\sigma}_{\mu}\cdot\sigma_{\nu} - \overline{\sigma}_{\nu}\cdot\sigma_{\mu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \overline{Q}^{\dot{\beta}},\\
&\{Q_{\alpha}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 2p_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}},\\
&\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}\} = 0, \quad \{\overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0,\\
&[p_{\mu}, Q_{\alpha}] = 0, \quad [p_{\mu}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}] = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{15}
$$

The SL(2,$\mathbb{C}$) property (14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon^{\gamma\beta}(\sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\mu})_{\gamma}{}^{\alpha} + \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}(\sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\mu})_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}\\
&= (\sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\mu})^{\alpha\beta} - (\sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\mu})^{\beta\alpha} = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that equation (15) can also be written as

$$
[M_{\mu\nu}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}] = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\overline{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\mu}\cdot\sigma_{\nu} - \overline{\sigma}_{\nu}\cdot\sigma_{\mu})^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}.
$$

The super-Poincaré algebra satisfies all the pertinent super-Jacobi identities as a consequence of the representation properties of the vector and spinor representations of the Lorentz algebra. The particular super-Jacobi identity

$$
[M_{\mu\nu}, \{Q_{\alpha}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\}] = \{[M_{\mu\nu}, Q_{\alpha}], \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} + \{[M_{\mu\nu}, \overline{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}], Q_{\alpha}\}
$$

holds as a consequence of the fact that the Pauli matrices have the same form in every inertial frame,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(L_{\mu\nu})^{\lambda}{}_{\kappa}\sigma^{\kappa}{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \eta_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}\sigma_{\nu\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \eta_{\nu}{}^{\lambda}\sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\\
&= \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\mu})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\sigma^{\lambda}{}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} - \sigma^{\lambda}{}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\frac{1}{4}(\overline{\sigma}_{\mu}\cdot\sigma_{\nu} - \overline{\sigma}_{\nu}\cdot\sigma_{\mu})^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

This can be verified from equations (7) by commuting the σ^{λ} matrices into the middle positions in the products on the right hand side. It can also be verified as a direct consequence of equation (9).

3 $N = 1$ Superspace

The Poincaré algebra is realized on spacetime coordinates x^μ through derivative operators

$$M_{\mu\nu} = -\mathrm{i}(x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu), \quad p_\mu = -\mathrm{i}\partial_\mu. \tag{17}$$

In a nutshell, superspace is based on the observation that this construction can be extended to the super-Poincaré algebra by supplementing Minkowski spacetime with fermionic coordinates coordinates θ^α and $\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}$ and corresponding fermionic derivatives

$$\partial_\alpha \theta^\beta = \delta_\alpha{}^\beta, \quad \overline{\partial}_{\dot\alpha} \overline{\theta}^{\dot\beta} = \delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}. \tag{18}$$

The super-Poincaré algebra is then realized on the superspace coordinates $(x^\mu, \theta^\alpha, \overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha})$ by amending the representations (17) of the bosonic operators with the realizations

$$Q_\alpha = -\mathrm{i}\partial_\alpha - \sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha} \partial_\mu, \tag{19}$$

$$\overline{Q}_{\dot\alpha} = \mathrm{i}\overline{\partial}_{\dot\alpha} + \theta^\alpha \sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \partial_\mu, \tag{20}$$

for the fermionic operators, and complementing the Lorentz generators to include the action on Q_α and $\overline{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$,

$$M_{\mu\nu} = -\mathrm{i}\,(x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4} \theta^\alpha (\sigma_\mu \cdot \overline{\sigma}_\nu - \sigma_\nu \cdot \overline{\sigma}_\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta \partial_\beta - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4} (\overline{\sigma}_\mu \cdot \sigma_\nu - \overline{\sigma}_\nu \cdot \sigma_\mu)^{\dot\beta}{}_{\dot\alpha} \overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha} \overline{\partial}_{\dot\beta}.$$

Superfields $V(x, \theta, \overline{\theta})$ are complex valued functions on superspace. The identities (39-45) imply that the expansion of every superfield with respect to the fermionic variables $(\theta^\alpha, \overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha})$ can be written in terms of four scalars $\phi(x)$, $M(x)$, $N(x)$, $D(x)$, four Weyl fermions $\psi(x)$, $\chi(x)$, $\kappa(x)$, $\lambda(x)$, and a vector field $A_\mu(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} V\big(x, \theta, \overline{\theta}\big) = {} & \phi(x) + \theta \cdot \psi(x) + \overline{\chi}(x) \cdot \overline{\theta} + \theta \cdot \sigma^\mu \cdot \overline{\theta} A_\mu(x) + \theta^2 M(x) + \overline{\theta}^2 N(x) \\ & + \overline{\theta}^2 \theta \cdot \kappa(x) + \theta^2 \overline{\lambda}(x) \cdot \overline{\theta} + \theta^2 \overline{\theta}^2 D(x). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The commutation relations

$$\mathrm{i}[\zeta \cdot Q, \theta^\alpha] = \zeta^\alpha, \quad \mathrm{i}[\overline{Q} \cdot \overline{\zeta}, \overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}] = \overline{\zeta}^{\dot\alpha},$$

$$\mathrm{i}[\zeta \cdot Q + \overline{Q} \cdot \overline{\zeta}, x^\mu] = -\mathrm{i}\left(\zeta \cdot \sigma^\mu \cdot \overline{\theta} - \theta \cdot \sigma^\mu \cdot \overline{\zeta}\right)$$

imply for unitary supertranslations

$$\begin{aligned} |x', \theta', \overline{\theta}'\rangle &= \exp\big[\mathrm{i}\big(\zeta \cdot Q + \overline{Q} \cdot \overline{\zeta}\big)\big]\, |x, \theta, \overline{\theta}\rangle \\ &= |x + \mathrm{i}(\zeta \cdot \sigma \cdot \overline{\theta} - \theta \cdot \sigma \cdot \overline{\zeta}), \theta - \zeta, \overline{\theta} - \overline{\zeta}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} V'\big(x, \theta, \overline{\theta}\big) &= \langle x, \theta, \overline{\theta}|\, \exp\big[\mathrm{i}\big(\zeta \cdot Q + \overline{Q} \cdot \overline{\zeta}\big)\big]\, |V\rangle \\ &= V\big(x - \mathrm{i}(\zeta \cdot \sigma \cdot \overline{\theta} - \theta \cdot \sigma \cdot \overline{\zeta}), \theta + \zeta, \overline{\theta} + \overline{\zeta}\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We can calculate the transformation properties of the component fields by comparing

$$\begin{aligned} V'\big(x,\theta,\overline{\theta}\big) &= \phi'(x) + \theta\cdot\psi'(x) + \overline{\chi}'(x)\cdot\overline{\theta} + \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta}A'_\mu(x) + \theta^2 M'(x) + \overline{\theta}^2 N'(x) \\ &\quad + \overline{\theta}^2\theta\cdot\kappa'(x) + \theta^2\overline{\lambda}'(x)\cdot\overline{\theta} + \theta^2\overline{\theta}^2 D'(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the expansion of the right hand side of equation (22) with respect to the fermionic variables θ^α and $\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}$.

4 Supertranslations of the general linear multiplet

Supertranslations shift the argument x of component fields to

$$X^\mu = x^\mu - \mathrm{i}\big(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\big)\,.$$

We can calulate the transformation properties of the components of the supermultiplet V to all orders in the transformation parameters ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$, by expanding the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned} V\big(X,\theta+\zeta,\overline{\theta}+\overline{\zeta}\big) &= \phi\big(x - \mathrm{i}(\zeta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\zeta})\big) \\ &\quad + (\theta+\zeta)\cdot\psi\big(x - \mathrm{i}(\zeta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\zeta})\big) + \ldots \end{aligned}$$

of equation (22) in all orders in θ and $\overline{\theta}$.
The first step requires the expansion of component fields with respect to the coordinate shift $\Delta x^\mu = \mathrm{i}\big(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\big)$, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(X) &= \phi(x) - \mathrm{i}\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\partial_\mu\phi(x) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi(x) \\ &\quad +\frac{\mathrm{i}}{6}\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right) \\ &\quad \times\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi(x) + \frac{1}{24}\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\kappa\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\kappa\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right) \\ &\quad \times\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\partial_\kappa\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi(x) \\ &= \phi(x) - \mathrm{i}\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\right)\partial_\mu\phi(x) \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta^2\overline{\theta}^2 + \theta^2\overline{\zeta}^2 + 2\zeta\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta}\right)\partial^2\phi(x) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} + \zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\theta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\theta}\right)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi(x) \\ &\quad -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\theta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta}\partial_\mu\partial^2\phi(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\theta}^2\theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu\partial^2\phi(x) \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{16}\theta^2\overline{\theta}^2\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\partial^2\phi(x), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and corresponding expansions for combinations of the other eight component fields with various factors, which are different in each case due to the presence of fermionic variables in the extra factors. Altogether, this includes 35 more relations, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}\theta\cdot\psi(X) = \theta\cdot\psi(x) &- \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\theta}\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\mu}\cdot\partial_{\nu}\psi(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta^{2}\overline{\zeta}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^{\mu}\cdot\partial_{\mu}\psi(x)\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\zeta^{2}\overline{\theta}^{2}\theta^{\alpha}\partial^{2}\psi_{\alpha}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\theta^{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta\theta}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^{\mu}\cdot\partial^{2}\psi(x)\\ &-\frac{1}{4}\theta^{2}\left(\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta\theta}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^{\nu} + \zeta\cdot\sigma^{\nu}\cdot\overline{\zeta\theta}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^{\mu}\right)\cdot\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi(x)\\ &-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\theta^{2}\overline{\theta}^{2}\zeta^{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial^{2}\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Substitution of all the expansions in terms of standard words in the Grassmann variables into equation (22) yields the full supertranslation properties of the component fields, which are reported in equations (25-33). The transformation equations of the component fields are organized by contributions from the nine component fields $\phi(x)$, $\psi(x)$, $\overline{\chi}(x)$, $A_{\mu}(x)$, $M(x)$, $N(x)$, $\kappa(x)$, $\overline{\lambda}(x)$, and $D(x)$, instead of organization by expansion in the supertranslation parameters ζ and $\overline{\zeta}$. In this way, supertranslations act on the component fields like matrices which have Grassmann valued differential operators as entries. The reader can easily re-organize the transformation equations in terms of supertranslation parameters.
The transformation equations are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(x) = \phi(x) &+ \zeta\cdot\psi(x) + \overline{\chi}(x)\cdot\overline{\zeta} + \zeta\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta}A_{\mu}(x) + \zeta^{2}M(x)\\ &+\overline{\zeta}^{2}N(x) + \overline{\zeta}^{2}\zeta\cdot\kappa(x) + \zeta^{2}\overline{\zeta}\cdot\overline{\lambda}(x) + \zeta^{2}\overline{\zeta}^{2}D(x), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(x) = \mathrm{i}\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_{\mu}\phi(x) &+ \psi(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu}\cdot\partial_{\mu}\psi(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\nu}\cdot\overline{\zeta} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overline{\zeta}^{2}\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\partial_{\mu}\overline{\chi}\\ &+\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta}A_{\mu}(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sigma^{\nu}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^{\mu}\cdot\zeta\overline{\zeta}^{2}\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}(x) + 2\zeta M(x) + \mathrm{i}\zeta^{2}\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_{\mu}M(x)\\ &+\kappa(x)\overline{\zeta}^{2} - \sigma_{\mu}\cdot\overline{\lambda}(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^{2}\overline{\zeta}^{2}\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\partial_{\mu}\overline{\lambda}(x) + 2\zeta\overline{\zeta}^{2}D(x), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\chi}'(x) = -\mathrm{i}\partial_{\mu}\phi(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\mu} &+ \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^{2}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^{\mu} + \overline{\chi}(x) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\nu}\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_{\mu}\overline{\chi}(x)\cdot\overline{\sigma}_{\nu}\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\\ &+A_{\mu}(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\mu} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^{2}\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}(x)\overline{\zeta}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^{\mu}\cdot\sigma^{\nu} + 2\overline{\zeta}N(x) - \mathrm{i}\overline{\zeta}^{2}\partial_{\mu}N(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\\ &-\zeta\cdot\sigma^{\mu}\cdot\overline{\zeta}\kappa(x)\cdot\sigma_{\mu} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^{2}\overline{\zeta}^{2}\partial_{\mu}\kappa(x)\cdot\sigma^{\mu} + \zeta^{2}\overline{\lambda}(x) + 2\zeta^{2}\overline{\zeta}D(x), \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A'_\mu(x) = &\frac{1}{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial^2\phi(x) - \zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi(x)\\
&-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\partial_\nu\psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\partial^2\psi(x)\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\\
&+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\partial_\nu\overline{\chi}(x)\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta} - \frac{1}{4}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\partial^2\overline{\chi}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\overline{\chi}(x)\\
&+A_\mu(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\left(\partial_\nu A_\lambda(x) - \partial_\lambda A_\nu(x)\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\left(\partial^2 A_\mu(x) - 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu A^\nu(x)\right) + \mathrm{i}\zeta^2\partial_\mu M(x) - \mathrm{i}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial_\mu N(x)\\
&-\kappa(x)\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta} - \mathrm{i}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\partial_\mu\kappa(x) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\partial_\nu\kappa(x)\\
&-\zeta\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\lambda}(x) + \mathrm{i}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}\cdot\partial_\mu\overline{\lambda}(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^2\partial_\nu\overline{\lambda}(x)\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\\
&-2\zeta\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}D(x).
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

For conversion of the last equation into standard "words" in the Grassmann variables ζ and $\overline{\zeta}$, note that from equation (9)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\mu\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta}F_{\nu\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{0123}\epsilon^\lambda{}_\mu{}^\nu{}_\rho\zeta\cdot\sigma^\rho\cdot\overline{\zeta}F_{\nu\lambda}(x) = \epsilon_{0123}\tilde{F}_{\mu\rho}(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^\rho\cdot\overline{\zeta}.
$$

The remaining transformation equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
M'(x) = &\frac{1}{4}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\phi(x) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\partial_\mu\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta} + \frac{1}{4}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\partial^2\psi(x) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial_\mu A^\mu(x)\\
&+M(x) - \mathrm{i}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu M(x) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2 M(x)\\
&+\overline{\zeta}\cdot\overline{\lambda}(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\partial_\mu\overline{\lambda}(x) + \overline{\zeta}^2 D(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N'(x) = &\frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\partial^2\phi(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\partial_\mu\overline{\chi}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}\cdot\partial^2\overline{\chi}(x) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^2\partial_\mu A^\mu(x)\\
&+N(x) + \mathrm{i}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu N(x) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2 N(x)\\
&+\zeta\cdot\kappa(x) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^2\partial_\mu\kappa(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta} + \zeta^2 D(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa'(x) = &\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\zeta^2\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu\partial^2\phi(x) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\partial^2\psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}(\zeta\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\sigma^\mu\cdot\partial^2\overline{\chi}(x)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\sigma^\nu\cdot\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\overline{\chi}(x) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\sigma^\mu\cdot\partial_\mu\partial^2\overline{\chi}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\nu\cdot\zeta\partial_\nu A_\mu(x)+\frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial^2 A_\mu(x)-\frac{1}{2}\zeta^2\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu A^\nu(x)\\
&+\mathrm{i}\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu N(x)+\frac{1}{2}\zeta\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2 N(x)\\
&+\kappa(x)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\nu\cdot\partial_\mu\kappa(x)+\mathrm{i}(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\partial_\mu\kappa(x)\\
&-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^2\sigma^\mu\cdot\partial_\mu\overline{\lambda}(x)+2\zeta D(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{31}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lambda}'(x)=&-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial_\mu\partial^2\phi(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu-\frac{1}{4}(\zeta\cdot\sigma_\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\partial^2\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\nu+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial_\mu\partial^2\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\overline{\chi}(x)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\partial_\nu A_\mu(x)\overline{\zeta}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\nu\cdot\sigma^\mu+\frac{1}{4}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2 A_\mu(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\partial_\nu A^\nu(x)-\mathrm{i}\partial_\mu M(x)\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu+\frac{1}{2}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}\partial^2 M(x)\\
&+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial_\mu\kappa(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu+\overline{\lambda}(x)-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\partial_\mu\overline{\lambda}(x)\cdot\overline{\sigma}_\nu\cdot\sigma^\mu\\
&-\mathrm{i}(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\partial_\mu\overline{\lambda}(x)+2\overline{\zeta}D(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{32}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D'(x)=&\frac{1}{16}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\partial^2\phi(x)-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\zeta^2\partial_\mu\partial^2\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\partial_\mu\partial^2\overline{\chi}(x)\\
&-\frac{1}{4}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\left(\partial^2 A_\mu(x)-2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu A^\nu(x)\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\partial^2 M(x)+\frac{1}{4}\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2 N(x)-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\partial_\mu\kappa(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\\
&+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\partial_\mu\overline{\lambda}(x)+D(x).
\end{aligned}
\tag{33}
$$

These transformation laws are compatible with the reality constraints $V(x,\theta,\overline{\theta})=V^+(x,\theta,\overline{\theta})$ which define the vector multiplet,

$$\phi(x)=\phi^+(x),\quad \psi(x)=\chi(x),\quad A_\mu(x)=A_\mu^+(x),\quad M(x)=N^+(x),\quad \kappa(x)=\lambda(x),$$

$$D=D^+(x).$$

5 Supertranslations of the chiral multiplet

Besides the superderivatives (19,20) one can also define the supercovariant derivatives [4, 5]

$$D_\alpha = -\mathrm{i}\partial_\alpha + \sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}\partial_\mu, \quad \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha} = \mathrm{i}\overline{\partial}_{\dot\alpha} - \theta^\alpha\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_\mu, \tag{34}$$

such that

$$\{D_\alpha, D_\beta\} = 0, \quad \{\overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}, \overline{D}_{\dot\beta}\} = 0, \quad \{D_\alpha, \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} = 2\mathrm{i}\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_\mu,$$

and

$$\{Q_\alpha, \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}\} = 0, \quad \{D_\alpha, \overline{Q}_{\dot\alpha}\} = 0, \quad \{Q_\alpha, D_\beta\} = 0 \quad \{\overline{Q}_{\dot\alpha}, \overline{D}_{\dot\beta}\} = 0.$$

The condition for chiral superfields

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\overline{\partial}_{\dot\alpha} - \theta^\alpha\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_\mu\right)\Phi(x,\theta,\overline{\theta}) = 0$$

is therefore invariant under supertranslations.
The basic solutions

$$\overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}\theta^\alpha = 0, \quad \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}\left(x^\mu + \mathrm{i}\theta^\alpha\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\beta}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\beta}\right) = 0$$

imply [1]

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x,\theta,\overline{\theta}) &= \Phi(x + \mathrm{i}\theta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\theta}, \theta) \\ &= \phi(x + \mathrm{i}\theta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\theta}) + \theta\cdot\psi(x + \mathrm{i}\theta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\theta}) + \theta^2 F(x + \mathrm{i}\theta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\theta}).\end{aligned}$$

The equation

$$-\theta^\alpha\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}\theta^\beta\sigma^\nu{}_{\beta\dot\beta}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\beta}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu = \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\overline{\theta}^2\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x,\theta,\overline{\theta}) = \phi(x) &+ \mathrm{i}\theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta}\partial_\mu\phi(x) + \frac{1}{4}\theta^2\overline{\theta}^2\partial^2\phi(x) + \theta\cdot\psi(x) \\ &- \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta^2\partial_\mu\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} + \theta^2 F(x).\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The chiral superfield corresponds to the following substitutions in the general superfield V,

$$\phi \to \phi, \quad \psi \to \psi, \quad \overline{\chi} \to 0, \quad A_\mu \to \mathrm{i}\partial_\mu\phi, \quad M \to F, \quad N \to 0,$$

$$\kappa \to 0, \quad \overline{\lambda} \to -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\partial_\mu\psi\cdot\sigma^\mu, \quad D \to \frac{1}{4}\partial^2\phi.$$

It is clear from the construction, but can also be checked explicitly that these constraints are compatible with the transformation properties (25-33) of the full linear multiplet.
We find the following supertranslations of the components of the chiral multiplet,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(x) &= \phi(x) + \mathrm{i}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu\phi(x) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\phi(x) + \zeta\cdot\psi(x) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta^2\partial_\mu\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta} \\ &\quad +\zeta^2 F(x), \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(x) &= 2\mathrm{i}\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu\phi(x) + \zeta\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\phi(x) + \psi(x) \\ &\quad +\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\zeta\cdot\sigma_\nu\cdot\overline{\zeta})\,(\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\mu)\cdot\partial_\mu\psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\psi(x) \\ &\quad +2\zeta F(x) + \mathrm{i}\zeta^2\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu F(x), \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F'(x) &= \overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2\phi(x) - \mathrm{i}\partial_\mu\psi(x)\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\zeta}^2\zeta\cdot\partial^2\psi(x) \\ &\quad +F(x) - \mathrm{i}\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\partial_\mu F(x) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2\overline{\zeta}^2\partial^2 F(x). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Please note that this presentation does not involve the usual rescaling of the spinor component in the chiral superfield,

$$\psi(x) \to \sqrt{2}\psi(x),$$

which is required for canonically normalized kinetic terms.

6 Conclusions

The supertranslation properties of the component fields of a general linear supermultiplet and of a chiral multiplet were reported to all orders in the translation parameters ζ and $\overline{\zeta}$ in equations (25-33) and equations (36-38), respectively. On the one hand, one can think of these results as explicit parametrizations of orbits of supertranslations in the space of component fields of a supersymmetric theory. On the other hand, one can consider the transformed fields again as superfields in variables $(x, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, because e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'_\alpha(x) &= \psi'_\alpha(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} V\big(x - \mathrm{i}(\zeta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma\cdot\overline{\zeta}), \theta+\zeta, \overline{\theta}+\overline{\zeta}\big)\Big|_{\theta=0,\overline{\theta}=0} \\ &= \left(\mathrm{i}\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\overline{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu + \frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta^\alpha}\right) V\big(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}\big) = \mathrm{i}D^{(\zeta)}_\alpha V\big(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}\big)\,, \end{aligned}$$

and higher order derivatives with respect to the θ and $\overline{\theta}$ variables at $\theta = 0$, $\overline{\theta} = 0$ can also be expressed as supercovariant derivatives with respect to ζ and $\overline{\zeta}$. From this point of view, equations (25-33) and (36-38) tell us explicitly how the fields $\phi(x)$, $\psi(x)$, $A_\mu(x)$, $M(x)$, $\kappa(x)$ and $D(x)$ induce superfields $\phi'(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, $\psi'(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, $A'_\mu(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, $M'(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, $\kappa'(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ and $D'(x,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$.

Appendix 1

Our superspace realizations (19,20) and (34) are related to the realizations in Wess and Bagger [1] according to

$$Q_\alpha = -\mathrm{i}Q_\alpha^{(WB)}, \quad \overline{Q}_{\dot\alpha} = -\mathrm{i}\overline{Q}_{\dot\alpha}^{(WB)},$$

$$D_\alpha = -\mathrm{i}D_\alpha^{(WB)}, \quad \overline{D}_{\dot\alpha} = -\mathrm{i}\overline{D}_{\dot\alpha}^{(WB)}.$$

With these conventions, the mode expansions for chiral superfields agree, and the generators for supertranslations are also the same (cf. equations (4.11,4.12) in [1]),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{i}\big(\zeta\cdot Q + \overline{Q}\cdot\overline{\zeta}\big) &= \zeta^\alpha\partial_\alpha - \overline{\partial}_{\dot\alpha}\overline{\zeta}^{\dot\alpha} - \mathrm{i}\big(\zeta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\theta} - \theta\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\zeta}\big)\,\partial_\mu \\ &= \zeta\cdot Q^{(WB)} + \overline{Q}^{(WB)}\cdot\overline{\zeta},\end{aligned}$$

i.e. our results for supertranslations to all orders also apply in the conventions of Wess and Bagger.
Note that Wess and Bagger use an operator representation of the super-Poincaré algebra with the same signature of the Minkowski metric but $p_\mu = \mathrm{i}\partial_\mu$. This comes from the familiar sign difference between field theoretic and quantum mechanical operator realizations of Noether charges. If $\phi(x) = \langle x|\phi\rangle$ is a field operator, the momentum operators

$$P_\mu = \int d^3\mathbf{x}\left(\eta_\mu{}^0\mathcal{L} - \partial_\mu\phi\cdot\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\phi)}\right)$$

satisfy

$$[P_\mu, \phi(x)] = \mathrm{i}\partial_\mu\phi(x)$$

and generate translations according to

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(x) &= \exp(-\mathrm{i}\epsilon\cdot P)\phi(x)\exp(\mathrm{i}\epsilon\cdot P) = \phi(x+\epsilon) = \langle x+\epsilon|\phi\rangle \\ &= \langle x|\exp(\mathrm{i}\epsilon\cdot p)|\phi\rangle = \langle x|\phi'\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Similar equations hold for Lorentz and gauge charges. Another way to look at the sign difference is through Jacobi identities. If the generators X_a satisfy the Lie algebra

$$[X_a, X_b] = \mathrm{i}C_{ab}{}^cX_c,$$

then the adjoint matric representation is given by

$$(X_a)_b{}^c = -\mathrm{i}C_{ab}{}^c.$$

Appendix 2

There are several useful identities for products of spinors which are used in the determination of the general linear multiplet and its transformations laws. The following identities are a direct consequence of the anti-symmetry properties of spinors and the definitions (10), (11) of spinor products[2],

$$\theta^\alpha\theta^\beta = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\theta^1\theta^2 = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\left(-\theta^1\theta_1 + \theta_2\theta^2\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\theta^\gamma\theta_\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\theta^2, \tag{39}$$

$$\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\beta} = \epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\overline{\theta}^{\dot1}\overline{\theta}^{\dot2} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\overline{\theta}^2, \tag{40}$$

$$\theta_\alpha\theta^\beta = -\theta^\beta\theta_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\theta^2, \quad \overline{\theta}_{\dot\alpha}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\beta} = -\overline{\theta}^{\dot\beta}\overline{\theta}_{\dot\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}\overline{\theta}^2. \tag{41}$$

The following relations also use the properties (5-8) of Pauli matrices,

$$\psi\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\chi} = \psi^\alpha\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\overline{\chi}^{\dot\alpha} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\psi_\beta\overline{\chi}_{\dot\beta} = -\overline{\chi}\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\mu\cdot\psi, \tag{42}$$

$$\psi\cdot\sigma^\mu\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\nu\cdot\chi = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\sigma^\nu{}_{\gamma\dot\beta}\epsilon^{\delta\gamma}\chi_\delta = \chi^\gamma\sigma^\nu{}_{\gamma\dot\beta}\overline{\sigma}^{\mu\dot\beta\beta}\psi_\beta = \chi\cdot\sigma^\nu\cdot\overline{\sigma}^\mu\cdot\psi, \tag{43}$$

$$\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}\psi^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\sigma}_\lambda{}^{\dot\alpha\alpha}\sigma^\lambda{}_{\gamma\dot\gamma}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\gamma}\psi^\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\overline{\sigma}_\lambda{}^{\dot\alpha\alpha}\psi\cdot\sigma^\lambda\cdot\overline{\theta}, \tag{44}$$

$$\zeta^\alpha\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\alpha}\zeta^\beta\sigma^\nu{}_{\beta\dot\beta}\overline{\theta}^{\dot\beta} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\sigma^\mu{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\sigma^\nu{}_{\beta\dot\beta}\zeta^2\overline{\theta}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\zeta^2\overline{\theta}^2. \tag{45}$$

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by NSERC Canada.

References

[1] J. Wess and J. Bagger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity, 2nd edition, Princeton University Press 1992.

[2] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B 70 (1974) 39; Phys. Lett. 49B (1974) 52.

[3] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Nucl. Phys. B 76 (1974) 477.

[4] S. Ferrara, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. 51B (1974) 239.

[5] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 1521; Fortschr. Phys. 26 (1978) 57.

[2]Please note that θ^2 in the first two equations of (39) denotes the component $\theta^{\alpha=2}$ of the spinor θ, but in the last of these equations it is $\theta^2 = \theta\cdot\theta$. In every equation in supersymmetry it is clear from the context what θ^2 means. However, readers without experience in supersymmetric calculations can take comfort in the fact that in the previous sections of this paper θ^2 always refers to $\theta^2 = \theta\cdot\theta$.